

# **EXHIBIT 2**

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

---

<b>Bartz, et al.,</b>	)	
	)	No. 3:24-CV-05417-WHA
Plaintiffs,	)	
	)	
vs.	)	
	)	San Francisco, California
<b>Anthropic PBC,</b>	)	October 10, 2024
	)	11:33 a.m.
Defendants.	)	
	)	

---

**BEFORE: THE HONORABLE WILLIAM H. ALSUP, JUDGE**

**REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS**

**INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE**

Official Court Reporter:

**Cathy J. Taylor, RMR, CRR, CRC (By Zoom Videoconference)**

Sandra Day O'Connor U.S. Courthouse, Suite 312

401 West Washington Street, SPC 31

Phoenix, Arizona 85003-2151

(602) 322-7249

Proceedings Reported by Stenographic Court Reporter

Transcript Prepared by Computer-Aided Transcription

A P P E A R A N C E S

For the Plaintiffs:

SUSMAN GODFREY, LLP

By: **Justin A. Nelson, Esq.**

1000 Louisiana Street, Suite 5100

Houston, Texas 77002

SUSMAN GODFREY, LLP

By: **Rohit Dwarka Nath, Esq.**

1900 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1400

Los Angeles, California 90067

LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN, LLP

By: **Reilly Todd Stoler, Esq.**

275 Battery Street, 29th Floor

San Francisco, California 94111-3339

LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN, LLP

By: **Rachel Geman, Esq.**

250 Hudson Street, 8th Floor

New York, New York 10013-1413

For the Defendant:

ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER, LLP

By: **Douglas Andrew Winthrop, Esq.**

**Estayvaine Bragg, Esq.**

**Jessica Lim Gillotte, Esq.**

Three Embarcadero Center, 10th Floor

San Francisco, California 94111-4024

LATHAM & WATKINS

By: **Joseph Richard Wetzels, Esq.**

505 Montgomery Street, Suite 2000

San Francisco, California 94111

P R O C E E D I N G S

THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Calling Civil Action 24-5417,  
Bartz, et al., vs. Anthropic PBC.

Counsel, please approach the podium. State your  
appearances for the record beginning with counsel for  
plaintiffs.

MR. NELSON: Good morning, Your Honor. Justin Nelson  
from Susman Godfrey representing the Bartz plaintiffs. With me  
from Susman Godfrey is Rohit Nath. With me from Lief Cabraser  
is Rachel Geman and Reilly Stoler.

THE COURT: Welcome to all of you.

And?

MR. WINTHROP: Good morning, Your Honor. Doug  
Winthrop from Arnold & Porter on behalf of Anthropic. I'm here  
with my colleagues, Estayvaine Bragg and Jessica Gillotte. And  
then my co-counsel here, Joe Wetzel, from Latham & Watkins.

THE COURT: All right. Welcome to all of you.

All right. We're here for a case management  
conference. I want -- I've read most of the complaint, but I  
want to give you a chance to tell me in two minutes, that's it,  
to summarize your case.

And then you'll get two minutes to summarize your  
case.

Go ahead.

MR. NELSON: Thank you, Your Honor.

1           This case involves the unauthorized use of hundreds of  
2 thousands of copyrighted books that Anthropic is alleged to  
3 have taken without permission in something called The Pile.  
4 The Pile is a publicly available source that includes within it  
5 something called Books3. Books3 is a pirated database of  
6 books. The allegations are that Anthropic took that pirated  
7 data source and used it to train its large language model and  
8 specifically, because books are incredibly important to train  
9 that large language model, it knew that it was a pirated  
10 dataset, and it, nevertheless, did it.

11           The defense that we think is coming is fair use. We  
12 do not think that it is a proper case for fair use. The very  
13 kernel of what these books are about is expressive content.  
14 How you say something is incredibly important. That is exactly  
15 what Anthropic does in training.

16           So this is not something, say, like the *Sega* case,  
17 where the intermediate copying was for the non-expressive  
18 content. This is directly for the expressive content. And in  
19 many ways this is no different from something like *Napster*,  
20 where, for example, a teenager cannot download something from  
21 the Internet and listen to music without infringing the  
22 copyright.

23           Certainly a corporation cannot download a pirated --  
24 known pirated website to its own database and then use it for a  
25 commercial purpose.

1 Thank you, Your Honor.

2 THE COURT: A very good, short summary. You get an  
3 A plus. I don't have to agree with everything, but you did  
4 what I asked. In two minutes or less, you summarized the case.

5 Okay. Mr. Winthrop, you get two minutes.

6 MR. WINTHROP: All right. And the bar has been set  
7 high, so I will --

8 THE COURT: Be good.

9 MR. WINTHROP: Anthropic is an AI research company.  
10 Its core product is Claude, which is a family of large language  
11 models. And that's a text-based type of generative AI system  
12 that uses deep learning techniques and large data sets to  
13 understand, summarize, generate, and predict new content.

14 Anthropic's Claude models performed tasks -- tasks  
15 involving language, reasoning, analysis, and coding, among  
16 other things. Its users are individuals seeking help with  
17 drafting an email, all the way to businesses looking to enhance  
18 their internal functions, create complex financial forecasts,  
19 that sort of thing.

20 The plaintiffs here, as counsel said, are three  
21 authors that they say -- they're asserting a single claim of  
22 copyright infringement. A number of the AI copyright cases in  
23 the Northern District have many, many claims. This has one  
24 claim, a single claim of direct copyright infringement. And  
25 the claim is based solely on the theory that Anthropic's

1 intermediate use of copyrighted works to teach its generative  
2 AI models statistical patterns about how humans use language  
3 constitutes copyright infringement.

4 Critically, this is super important when you think  
5 about the other AQ -- AI cases around the Northern District and  
6 the country. There is no claim in this case that any output  
7 ever generated by any Anthropic AI model is substantially  
8 similar to any of the copyrighted works.

9 So this is a classic fair use, a transformation -- a  
10 transformative use of taking data, using it to train these  
11 machines, to teach it about language, and then something new is  
12 created from that.

13 There are procedural issues in this case in terms of  
14 whether they have adequately alleged that, in fact, their  
15 clients' books were, in fact, in this dataset.

16 THE COURT: Well, they do allege it.

17 MR. WINTHROP: What's that?

18 THE COURT: They do. They certainly allege that they  
19 are.

20 MR. WINTHROP: They don't -- we would submit, Your  
21 Honor, they don't allege it in any kind of way that's factual.  
22 And they do --

23 THE COURT: Why don't you just tell us. Were they or  
24 not?

25 MR. WINTHROP: The -- I don't know the answer to that.

1 THE COURT: Well, take a deposition tomorrow.

2 MR. WINTHROP: Yeah, I understand.

3 THE COURT: Let's find out. I'm going to authorize  
4 that.

5 MR. WINTHROP: Thank you, Your Honor.

6 THE COURT: And this is ridiculous for you to hide  
7 behind that. Either these books were read and part -- in part  
8 of your program or they weren't. And for you to say they got  
9 to allege it when it's all within the -- your -- your company's  
10 records, I don't stand for that.

11 MR. WINTHROP: Yeah. What I was -- just to be clear,  
12 what my argument is, Your Honor, is they're alleging the books  
13 were in a data set, and then they're saying that dataset was  
14 used.

15 Our only point is the dataset is outside, and there --  
16 what we're saying is there's no clear allegation that they were  
17 in that dataset that they have access to. That's -- to be very  
18 clear, that's my point.

19 THE COURT: And is that true, that you don't allege  
20 that?

21 MR. NELSON: We absolutely allege it, Your Honor. We  
22 allege it, for example, when we talk about the various  
23 plaintiffs.

24 THE COURT: I read that -- I read that this morning,  
25 And it seemed to me you said that all three plaintiffs, their



1 books were in what's it called Book3.

2 MR. NELSON: Books3, Your Honor. It's paragraphs 56  
3 through 58 of the complaint.

4 THE COURT: And -- and so if that's -- why isn't that  
5 good enough?

6 MR. WINTHROP: The way -- Your Honor, the way it is  
7 phrased, and I'll go to it, is this. If you look at 56, they  
8 say, "Plaintiff Bartz is the author of a number of books,"  
9 blah, blah, blah. "This novel was included in the Books3  
10 dataset, based on public reporting about the dataset. Pirated  
11 copies of her work are available online through websites like  
12 LibGen and Bibliotek. Bartz is the author and owner of the  
13 registered copyrights works."

14 So they're citing websites like LibGen and Bibliotek.  
15 They don't -- what our problem is, Your Honor, is that they  
16 don't clearly state, like, they've done their work and they  
17 have concluded that these books are in Books3.

18 It's a very simple, straightforward argument. If  
19 it's -- if they're in there, fine, we move on that -- from  
20 that. But that's the critical thing, that the complaint is  
21 worded in a very odd way.

22 THE COURT: But why can't they rely upon public  
23 reporting?

24 MR. WINTHROP: With what public reporting? Can't  
25 they -- shouldn't they say what public reporting? I don't

1 mean -- I don't mean this to be like --

2 THE COURT: Answer that. Help me out here. What  
3 public reporting?

4 MR. NELSON: The Atlantic Magazine. The Atlantic  
5 Magazine has created basically a facsimile of the Books3  
6 database. Prior to alleging these particular books, we ran  
7 them through the facsimile of the Books3 database, and all of  
8 them were in it. So that is exactly why. We do not have the  
9 Books3 -- that's we were careful with what we said, which is  
10 the Books3 is Books3, which has its own set of issues, which is  
11 a pirated website, Your Honor.

12 So instead of going to a pirated website, we went to  
13 the facsimile of the website, which is the Atlantic database,  
14 ran those names through, and saw that they all hit upon it.  
15 And not just that, the LibGen and Bibliotek references are  
16 there to show that it is reasonable to expect, certainly way  
17 more than plausible to expect that these are in the Books3  
18 database given that they are also in other pirated websites.

19 THE COURT: Well, wait. I didn't understand the last  
20 point. When -- you called something Atlantic.

21 MR. NELSON: The Atlantic Magazine, Your Honor.

22 THE COURT: All right. So you went to Atlantic  
23 Magazine, and all three of the novels were in the list.

24 MR. NELSON: Thank you, Your Honor. Yes.

25 THE COURT: Is that true?

1 MR. NELSON: Correct.

2 THE COURT: All right. So why is that not good  
3 enough?

4 MR. WINTHROP: Because that is not in the complaint,  
5 and we talked this morning. And if I -- they saw from the  
6 statement one concern we have, and they told me they were going  
7 to try to tell me and show me that, in fact, they have this  
8 evidence.

9 I am skeptical, Your Honor, but I'm open-minded. I  
10 don't want to file a motion.

11 THE COURT: Please don't file one when it's that easy.

12 I want you by the end of the week, show him the  
13 Atlantic list. Highlight the names of the three.

14 MR. NELSON: Absolutely, Your Honor.

15 THE COURT: All right. Okay. Now, do you deny that  
16 your company uses Books3?

17 MR. WINTHROP: I don't know at this point that the --  
18 the full use of the training, but that's -- so that would be a  
19 question --

20 THE COURT: That's what's alleged.

21 MR. WINTHROP: Yes --

22 THE COURT: So --

23 MR. WINTHROP: -- I understand.

24 THE COURT: -- why don't you go take the deposition  
25 tomorrow of a 30(b)(6) person to find out if they're using

1 Books3.

2 This ought to be -- the facts here should not be in  
3 dispute. If it's truly fair use, you should be open about  
4 everything that happened --

5 MR. WINTHROP: Yeah.

6 THE COURT: -- and -- and so that they -- we -- okay.

7 Now, what is your answer to his point? His point is,  
8 we're not selling pirated copies. We're not going out -- and  
9 what's the name of this book? The Last -- the Lost Night, a  
10 novel.

11 They're not going out and selling bootleg copies of  
12 this novel. Kind of the classic misuse of copyright.

13 What they're doing is, he says, a transformative use,  
14 the words in that novel and, as you say, the expression to  
15 train their -- what's it called?

16 MR. WINTHROP: It'll a model. Claude.

17 THE COURT: Claude, yes.

18 So that -- I can see the argument. I'm not saying I  
19 agree with it. I don't know yet. But tell me, preview what  
20 your response to that's going to be.

21 MR. NELSON: Sure. And we'll put aside the output  
22 case, whether it actually is transformative. But just this is  
23 an input case. The -- the copying of a pirated book is a  
24 copyright violation. And the American -- the *A&M Records vs.*  
25 *Napster*, 239 F.3d 1004 at 1015, Ninth Circuit, I'm going to

1 motion.

2 Now, I might -- I probably -- I won't say I would  
3 automatically go along with it, but I -- but -- but right now I  
4 want to have a date, a deadline date.

5 MR. WINTHROP: And so --

6 THE COURT: And as we get closer, if you think you  
7 both agree this -- that this is premature, you could probably  
8 talk me out of the deadline.

9 MR. WINTHROP: All right. And I trust that if the  
10 feeling of good spirit we had this morning in terms of  
11 agreement somehow dissipates in the case and we can't agree, I  
12 assume we still can come to you and attempt to persuade you  
13 on --

14 THE COURT: Yes, you could.

15 MR. WINTHROP: -- on the --

16 THE COURT: Yeah.

17 MR. WINTHROP: Yeah.

18 THE COURT: You could always do that.

19 MR. WINTHROP: Yeah, I thought.

20 THE COURT: Because everyone knows that I'm  
21 Mr. Reasonable.

22 MR. WINTHROP: That's why I said it. Yep.

23 MR. NELSON: Your Honor, we do actually -- we take you  
24 seriously on taking a quick deposition on -- on some of these  
25 issues. We do think --

1 THE COURT: And I'm serious, too.

2 MR. NELSON: Oh, absolutely.

3 I do think that it would be more efficient if this  
4 week or early next week we are able to issue requests for  
5 admission and interrogatories --

6 THE COURT: Yeah.

7 MR. NELSON: -- on these issues.

8 Thank you.

9 THE COURT: Oh, yeah. This -- the purpose of this  
10 discovery thing, it's open. Today under the rule, discovery is  
11 wide open. No stonewalling.

12 And you could take the -- it's wide open. You could  
13 take the plaintiff's depositions.

14 MR. WINTHROP: Oh, yeah.

15 THE COURT: Find out if they really wrote these books.

16 MR. WINTHROP: Let -- let me just be clear. The point  
17 I was making was, is the -- are there books in Books3?

18 If that's easily demonstrated to us by what they're  
19 saying, we don't -- that was the only point. We want to -- we  
20 want to get to the heart of this, too, Your Honor.

21 THE COURT: Well, it could be if you took their  
22 depositions somehow they've given away the copyrights.

23 MR. WINTHROP: That -- there may be some issues there,  
24 too, Your Honor.

25 THE COURT: I'm telling you --

1 MR. WINTHROP: Yeah.

2 THE COURT: -- half the class actions I've always  
3 done, there's always a problem with the plaintiffs that the  
4 lawyers have failed to --

5 MR. WINTHROP: Yeah.

6 THE COURT: -- discover.

7 Now, maybe your firms are so great. But I'm telling  
8 you they range from convicted felon -- convicted felon.  
9 There's no way a convicted felon is going to represent -- have  
10 a fiduciary duty unless the whole class is one of convicted  
11 felons so --

12 MR. WINTHROP: You just -- just took away one of my  
13 motions.

14 THE COURT: All right.

15 MR. WINTHROP: But that's okay, Your Honor.

16 THE COURT: So there. You might want to take their  
17 deposition.

18 All right. How much more damage can I do this  
19 morning?

20 I'm going to get out an order --

21 MR. WINTHROP: Thank you.

22 THE COURT: -- that captures this.

23 And you owe me a suggested -- I want you to talk about  
24 the tutorial. And if you agree, I would like to do it, but I'm  
25 not ordering it yet. But if you both say, we could do this on

1 January 10th, then I'd probably go along with that.

2 MR. NELSON: Thank you, Your Honor. And we will  
3 confer on that.

4 And I do -- we have talked a lot about Books3. To be  
5 clear, our allegation is that they are in the training data for  
6 Anthropic. Books3 is the most glaring example of that. But I  
7 think, for example, to get around the issue of -- of saying  
8 that they do not use it, it would be -- they are not used at  
9 the training data whatsoever, it's something that we'll explore  
10 during discovery.

11 THE COURT: You should do a request to admit that  
12 said: Admit that you used the Last Night -- Lost Night, a  
13 novel, as part of the training.

14 MR. NELSON: Thank you, Your Honor. That's exactly  
15 what --

16 THE COURT: And if they don't admit or deny something  
17 that simple, there will be -- you'll be in trouble with the  
18 poor judge.

19 That's something you can admit or deny easily. You  
20 probably know it right now. Okay.

21 MR. NELSON: Thank you, Your Honor.

22 THE COURT: All right. Good luck to both sides.

23 MR. NELSON: Thank you.

24 MR. WINTHROP: Thank you.

25 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Court is adjourned.



(Proceedings conclude at 12:07 p.m.)

---oOo---

C E R T I F I C A T E

I, CATHY J. TAYLOR, do hereby certify that I am duly appointed and qualified to act as Official Court Reporter.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that the foregoing pages constitute a full, true, and accurate transcript of all of that portion of the proceedings contained herein, had in the above-entitled cause on the date specified therein, and that said transcript was prepared under my direction and control.

DATED this 10th day of October, 2024.

/s/Cathy J. Taylor

Cathy J. Taylor, RMR, CRR, CRC